LAW OFFICES OF

McGINN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP, PLLC

A PROFESSIONAL LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY
PATENTS, TRADEMARKS, COPYRIGHTS, AND INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW
8321 OLD COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 200
VIENNA, VIRGINIA 22182-3817
TELEPHONE: (703) 761-4100

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

OCT 1 1 2005

FACSIMILE/DATA: (703) 761-4100 FACSIMILE/DATA: (703) 761-2375; 761-2376

E-MAIL: MCGINNGIBB @ AOL.COM / ADMIN @ MCGINNIPLAW.COM SENDER'S E-MAIL: JHOWARD @ MCGINNIPLAW.COM

SEAN M. MCGINN
PHILLIP E. MILLER†
FREDERICK E. COOPERRIDER†
JAMES E. HOWARD†
JAMES N. DRESSER
JOHN J. DRESCH
SCOTT M. TULINO
J. BRADLEY WRIGHT†

TMEMBER OF BAR OTHER THAN VA

October 11, 2005

VIA FACSIMILE

To: Examiner Alexander Jamal

Group Art Unit: 2643

U.S.P.T.O.

From: James E. Howard

Facsimile No.: (571) 273-8300

Facsimile No.: (703) 761-2375 or 76

Enclosed Amendment After Final Rejection Under 37 CFR §1.116

U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 09/669,847

Docket No.: FQ5-488

Dear Examiner Jamal:

Re:

Enclosed for filing is an Amendment in response to the Final Office Action mailed on July 8, 2005, which should place the above-referenced case in condition for allowance.

Thank you in advance for your kind consideration on this case.

Very truly yours,

James E. Howard

JEH/geb Enclosures

Total pages transmitted: 28

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

OCT 1 1 2005

In re Application of

Yoichi Okano

Serial No.:

09/669,847

Group Art Unit:

2643

Filed:

September 27, 2000 Examiner:

Alexander Jamal

For:

TELEPHONE APPARATUS AND ALERT METHOD FOR REMINDER TO

PLACE A CALL

Honorable Commissioner of Patents Box AF

Alexandria, VA 22313 - 1450

AMENDMENT AFTER FINAL REJECTION UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.116

Sir:

In preparation for imminent appeal and in response to the Office Action dated July 8, 2005, please amend the above-identified application as follows:

INTRODUCTORY COMMENTS

Claims 1, 4-11, 13-27, and 29-30 are all the claims presently pending in the application. Claims 1, 8, 11, 18-21, 23, 25-27, and 29 are amended to more clearly define the invention and claim 28 is canceled. Claims 1, 8, 11, 18-21, 23, and 25-27 are independent.